REMARKS

The Office Action dated October 22, 2004 contained a final rejection of claims 1-20. The Applicants have amended claims 1, 4, 10, 11, 16, 17, 18 and 19. Claims 1-20 are in the case. Please consider the present amendment with the attached Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114. This amendment is in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.114. Reexamination and reconsideration of the application, as amended, are requested.

Claims 1-14 and 16-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Motai (U.S. Patent No. 5,850,220) in view of Elsey et al. (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0055351). Claim 15 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Motai (U.S. Patent No. 5,850,220) in view of Elsey et al. (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0055351) and further in view of Davis et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,937,160).

The Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections based on the amendments to the claims that more clearly defines the Applicants' invention and the arguments below.

Namely, Motai in combination with Elsey et al. do <u>not</u> disclose the Applicant's <u>matrix with a time contact schedule</u> that includes current time sensitive contact information and <u>preferred reasons</u> for contacting a user of the computer device so that accessors of the computer display have <u>updated reason and time dependant access</u> information about the user <u>at the current moment</u>. Although Motai discloses "[A]n image display apparatus... which a graphic image... and a calendar are displayed on a display unit...", and Elsey et al. disclose calendar and personalized information and communication services over a wireless mobile device, the combination of these references clearly <u>fails</u> to disclose the Applicant's <u>current reason and time dependant access</u> information about the user at the current moment via the screensaver.

In particular, in the Applicant's invention, the user may enter his preferred way of being contacted as a matrix of time and reason for contact (emergency or normal business). For example, the user could have a column for an emergency contact method as the telephone from 8:00 AM to 12:00 PM then pager after 12:00 PM. The next column could be for normal business contact and list his pager for contact from 8:00 AM until 5:00 PM then e-mail is listed after that.

In contrast, the combined references merely allow users "... to be connected to server 28 to set up one or more appointments folders, e.g., My Appointments folder 409, which are stored in database 20. As described before, database 20 may be accessed via the Internet to which server 28 is connected, and a user may utilize a web browser to navigate through the aforementioned web pages to create, maintain and/or view the appointments folders." (see paragraph 93 of Elsey et al.). However, the combined references do not teach, disclose or suggest the Applicant's updated reason and time dependant access information about the user at the current moment via the screensaver. Therefore, since the cited references, in combination or alone, are missing at least one element of the Applicant's claimed invention, they cannot render the claims obvious and the Applicant submits that these rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) should be withdrawn.

Last, with regard to the dependent claims, because they depend from the above-argued respective independent claims, and they contain additional limitations that are patentably distinguishable over the cited references, these claims are also considered to be patentable (MPEP § 2143.03).

Thus, it is respectfully requested that all of the claims be allowed based on the amendments and arguments. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the outstanding rejection of the claims and to pass this application to issue. Additionally, in an effort to further the prosecution of the subject application, the Applicants kindly invite the Examiner to **telephone** the Applicants' attorney at **(818) 885-1575** if the Examiner has any questions or concerns. Please note that all correspondence should continue to be directed to:

Hewlett Packard Company Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

Respectfully submitted, Dated: January 22, 2005

Edmond A. DeFrank

Reg. No. 37,814

Attorney for Applicants

(818) 885-1575 TEL

(818) 885-5750 FAX